



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY. DOCKET: 10.1020  
*AF*

IN RE APPLICATION OF: BIN LI

SERIAL NUMBER: 10/046,639

FILED 10/26/2001

EXAMINER: RIZK, SAMIR WADIE

GROUP ART: 2133

FOR: OPTIMAL BIT ALLOCATION SYSTEM FOR REED-SOLOMAN CODED  
DATA

## AFTER FINAL RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner of Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith are:

- An amendment and response letter
- No additional fee is required for this amendment
- A petition for a \_\_\_\_\_ month extension of time and
- One Page Proposed Drawing Corrections
- A check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for three month extension of time
- Change of Address Form
- Authorization is granted to charge any additional or deficient fees under 37 CFR § 1.16 or § 1.17 to Deposit Account 50-0562.

DUPLICATE ORIGINALS OF THIS TRANSMITTAL SHEET ARE ENCLOSED

Dated: September 19, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Robert N. Blackmon  
Reg. No. 39,494  
Attorney for the Applicant

Merek, Blackmon & Voorhees, LLC  
673 S. Washington St.  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
(703)-684-5633



DOCKET NO. 10.1020

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE APPLICATION OF:

APPLICANT : Bin Li

SERIAL NO. : 10/046,639

ART UNIT: 2133

FILED : 10/26/2001

EXAMINER: Rizk, Samir Wadie

FOR : Optimal Bit Allocation System for Reed-Solomon Coded Data

---

AFTER FINAL RESPONSE

---

THE HONORABLE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Box NO FEE AMENDMENT  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action of July 18, 2006:

Status

No claims has been added or cancelled by the present amendment. Independent claims 1, 10, 15, 16, 20 and 21 with claims depending therefrom, will remain for further consideration.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The Examiner rejected claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Locke et al. This rejection is respectfully traversed. The grounds presented in the previous amendment are incorporated herein as reasons why the claims are allowable over the art of record and the Examiner is respectfully requested to refer to the previous amendment for an explanation of the claims and how the claims read over the art of record.